## Note No. #9

## Subject: Evaluation Methodology for State Startup Ranking 2018

Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion (DIPP), Ministry of Commerce and Industry released the State Startup Ranking framework 2018 on 6<sup>th</sup> February 2018 for implementation by the State Governments. In order to evaluate States in a balanced and transparent manner, implementation of action points and feedback from Startup ecosystem components have been given due weightage. This has been approved by CIM file No. P-38015/101/2017-STARTUP INDIA (**Flagged as "A"**). The following implementation methodology is proposed for the states Startup Ranking framework.

2. The 'State Startup Ranking Framework' (**Flagged as "B"**) is spread across **7 areas of interventions** with a total of **38 action points** and overall score of **100 marks**. The details may be seen as below:

S.No	Areas of intervention	Area- wise score	Number of action points requiring only supporting documents	Number of action points requiring only feedback	Number of action points requiring both supporting documents and feedback	Total number of action points
1	Startup Policy and implementation	17	6	-	7	13
2	Incubation support	20	3	-	-	3
3	Seed funding support	15	2	-	-	2
4	Funding support – Angel and Venture funding	10	3	-	-	3
5	Simplified regulations	13	2		2	4
6	Easing public procurement	14	-	-	5	5
7	Awareness and outreach	11	5	1	2	8
	Grand Total	100 marks	21	1	16	38

## 3. Composition of Evaluation Committees

Separate Evaluation Committee shall be constituted for each area of intervention. The composition of proposed Evaluation Committees is as follows:

Area of Intervention	Evaluation Committees				
	Member 1	Member 2	Member 3	Member 4	Member 5
Evaluation Committee 1 - Policy Support	Deputy Secretary, Startup India, DIPP	Director, IPR Cell, DIPP	Ministry of Skill Development and Entrepreneur ship (MSDE)	Bharatiya Yuva Shakti Trust (BYST)	NASSCOM
Evaluation Committee 2 - Incubation Support	Deputy Secretary, Startup India, DIPP	NITI Aayog (Atal Innovation Mission)	Department of Biotechnolog y (DBT)	Department of Science & Technology (DST)	ISBA
Evaluation Committee 3 - Seed Funding Support	Deputy Secretary, Startup India, DIPP	DBT	DST	MSDE	MD, FITT- IIT Delhi
Evaluation Committee 4 - Angel & Venture Funding	Deputy Secretary, Startup India, DIPP	SIDBI	IVCA	Canbank Venture Capital Fund	
Evaluation Committee 5 - Simplified regulations	Deputy Secretary, Startup India, DIPP	Deputy Secretary, EoDB, DIPP	Ministry of Labour and Employment (MoLE)	Ministry of Electronics & Information Technology (MeITY)	NITI Aayog
Evaluation Committee 6 - Easing Public Procurement	Deputy Secretary, Startup India, DIPP	Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MoMSME)	Government e-Marketplace (GeM)	Department of Public Enterprises (DPE)	Departmen t of Expenditur e (DoE)
Evaluation Committee 7 - Awareness & Outreach	Deputy Secretary, Startup India, DIPP	Department of Higher Education (DHE)	The Indus Entrepreneur (TiE)	All India Council for Technical Education (AICTE)	NITI Aayog

## 4. Evaluation of Feedback Score

- **4.1** The feedback score shall be evaluated by Startup India Hub Team. The following activities shall be undertaken by Startup India Hub Team, headed by Shri Suyash Mishra while collecting feedback:
  - 5.1.1 The feedback shall be collected by the call centre under the Startup India Hub Team at DIPP.
  - 5.1.2 Appropriate Software for feedback collection shall be developed.
  - 5.1.3 Necessary training of the Call centre shall be conducted.

# 4.2 Feedback Questionnaire

	Sta	rtup Policy Implementation	i and Support	
S.N o.	Parameter	Feedback Methodology	Universe of Beneficiaries	Feedback Questionnaire
1	Women entrepreneurship	1. Feedback shall be collected from list of beneficiaries as shared by State/UT and relevant ecosystem components 2. The percentage of respondents who are aware of and benefitted out of the total respondents a) >=50% b) <50% Weightage = 50%	List of women entrepreneurs	<ol> <li>Have you received any benefits specific to women entrepreneur through a scheme/progra m of the State/UT?</li> <li>a. Not benefitted</li> <li>b. Benefitted</li> </ol>
2	Online system for registering Startups with State/UT	<ol> <li>Feedback shall be collected from list of beneficiaries as shared by State/ UT and relevant ecosystem components</li> <li>The percentage of respondents who are aware of and satisfied with the registration mechanism out of the total respondents a) &gt;=50% b) &lt;50% Weightage = 50%</li> </ol>	List of registered Startups	<ul> <li>1. Have you registered your Startup using the online registration facility on the State Startup portal and if yes, how satisfied are you with the online application procedure?</li> <li>a. Not used</li> <li>b. Not satisfied</li> <li>c. Moderately satisfied</li> <li>d. Highly satisfied</li> </ul>
3	Online system for availing benefits/incentiv es	<ol> <li>Feedback shall be collected from</li> <li>list of beneficiaries as shared by</li> <li>State/UT and relevant ecosystem components</li> <li>The percentage of respondents</li> <li>who are aware of and satisfied with the online system out of the total respondents</li> <li>a) &gt;=50% b) &lt;50%</li> </ol>	List of registered Startups	<ol> <li>Have you applied for benefits given by state for Startup's using the Startup portal of your State and if yes, how satisfied are you with the online application procedure?</li> <li>a. Not used</li> <li>b. Not satisfied</li> </ol>

4.2.1	Startup Policy Implementation and Support: The feedback evaluation in this area
of inte	ervention shall be done as follows:

	Sta	rtup Policy Implementation	and Support	
S.N o.	Parameter	Feedback Methodology	Universe of Beneficiaries	Feedback Questionnaire
		Weightage = 50%		c. Moderately satisfied
				d. Highly satisfied
4	Query resolution	<ol> <li>Feedback shall be collected from record of users as shared by State/ UT and other relevant ecosystem components</li> <li>The percentage of respondents who are aware of the system and whose queries have been satisfactorily resolved out of the total respondents         <ul> <li>a) &gt;=50%</li> <li>b) &lt;50%</li> <li>Weightage = 75%</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	List of stakeholders who have submitted queries	<ol> <li>Have you received a response for your query and if yes, how satisfied are you with the response received?</li> <li>a. No response received?</li> <li>b. Not response received</li> <li>b. Not satisfied</li> <li>c. Moderately satisfied</li> <li>d. Highly satisfied</li> </ol>
5	Mentor network	<ul> <li>1. Feedback shall be collected from list of mentors and Startups facilitated as shared by State/UT and other relevant ecosystem components</li> <li>2. The percentage of respondents who are aware of and have been satisfactorily facilitated out of total respondents</li> <li>a) &gt;=50%</li> <li>b) &lt;50%</li> <li>Weightage = 50%</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>List of Startups facilitated for mentorship</li> <li>List of registered /uploaded mentors</li> </ol>	For Startups 1. Have you contacted any of the mentors listed by your State govt. on the state Startup portal/website and if yes, how satisfied are you with the mentorship received? a. Not contacted any mentor b. Not satisfied c. Moderately satisfied d. Highly satisfied
6	Intellectual Property (IP)	1. Feedback shall be collected from list of Startups as shared by	List of Startups provided IP	1. Have you contacted any of the Intellectual

	Sta	rtup Policy Implementation	and Support	
S.N o.	Parameter	Feedback Methodology	Universe of Beneficiaries	Feedback Questionnaire
	support centres	State/UT on facilitation provided by IP Support Centres 2.The percentage of respondents who are aware of and have been satisfactorily facilitated out of total respondents a) >=50% b) <50% Weightage = 50%	support	Property Centres listed by your State govt. on the state Startup portal/website and if yes, how satisfied are you with the mentorship received? a. Not contacted any IP centre b. Not satisfied c. Moderately satisfied d. Highly satisfied
7	Partnerships	<ol> <li>Feedback shall be collected from list of Startups benefitted as shared by State/UT</li> <li>The percentage of respondents (Startups) who are aware of and have benefitted from such partnerships out of total respondents         <ul> <li>a) &gt;=50%</li> <li>b) &lt;50%</li> </ul> </li> <li>Weightage = 50%</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>List of Startups benefitted by partnerships</li> <li>List of incubators benefitted by partnerships</li> <li>List of partner institutions</li> </ol>	For Startups 1.Have you benefitted through any partnerships initiated by your state government with corporate(s) and or educational institutes to provide services/product s to startups? a. Not benefitted b. Benefitted

4.2.2	Simplified Regulation	: The feedback evaluation in this area of intervention shall
be don	ne as follows:	

	Simplified Regulations						
S.No.	Parameter	Feedback Methodology	Universe of Beneficiaries	Feedback Questionnai re			
8		1.Feedback shall be collected from list of Startups benefitted as shared by State/UT through self-certification	List of Startups that have self- certified using online	1. Have you received any benefits through the online facility			

		Simplified Regulation	s	
S.No.	Parameter	Feedback Methodology	Universe of Beneficiaries	Feedback Questionnai re
		The percentage of respondents aware of and have benefitted by the self/third party certification mechanism out of the total respondents a) >=50% b) <50% Weightage = 50%	platform	provided by the State to submit self- certification/t hird party certification under all applicable labour laws? a. Not benefitted b. Benefitted
9	Support to Startups working in new/disruptive areas /technologies	<ul> <li>1.Feedback shall be collected from Startups/entrepreneurs on the mechanism for interacting with State/UT Government on presenting new/disruptive technologies</li> <li>The percentage of respondents who are aware of and satisfied with State/UT Government interactions</li> <li>out of total respondents</li> <li>a) &gt;=50%</li> <li>b) &lt;50%</li> <li>Weightage = 50%</li> </ul>	List of new/ disruptive technology Startups supported	1. Has your state govt. invited you for consultations /interactions to address Challenges faced by new/disrupti ve technologies and if yes, how satisfied are you with the mentorship received? a. Not invited by the state b. Not satisfied
				c. Moderately satisfied d. Highly satisfied

4.2.3	Easing public procurement: The feedback evaluation in this area of intervent	ion
shall b	e done as follows:	

	Easing Public Procurement					
S.No.	Parameter	Feedback Methodology	Universe of Beneficiaries	Feedback Questionna ire		
10	Public	1.Feedback shall be	List of	1. Have you		

	procurement (prior experience)	gathered through review of tender documents 2.Feedback shall also be collected from list of Startups benefitted as shared by State/UT The percentage of respondents aware of and have benefitted out of the total respondents a) >=50% b) <50%	Startups allowed / benefitted through relaxation in procurement norms	benefitted in the form of an exemption of relaxation of prior experience criteria for startups, under the public procurement norms given by your state? a. Not benefitted b. Benefitted
11	Public	Weightage 50% 1.Feedback shall be	List of	1. Have you
	procurement (prior turnover)	gathered from review of tender documents 2.Feedback shall also be collected from list of Startups benefitted as shared by State/UT The percentage of respondents aware of and have benefitted out of the total respondents a) >=50% b) <50%	Startups allowed relaxation in procurement	benefitted in the form of an exemption of relaxation of prior turnover criteria for startups, under the public procurement norms given by your state? a. Not benefitted b. Benefitted
12	Public procurement (submission of EMD)	<ul> <li>1.Feedback shall be gathered</li> <li>through review of tender documents</li> <li>2.Feedback shall also be collected from the list of Startups benefitted</li> <li>as shared by State/ UT</li> <li>The percentage of respondents</li> </ul>	List of Startups allowed relaxation in procurement	1. Have you benefitted in the form of an exemption of relaxation from EMD criteria for startups, under the public procurement norms given by your

		aware of and have		state?
		benefitted out of the total respondents		a. Not
		-		benefitted
		a) >=50%		b. Benefitted
		b) <50%		
		Weightage = 50%		
13	Preference to	1.Feedback shall be	List of	1. Have you
	Startups in State/UT	undertaken	Startups provided	benefitted in the form of
	Government	from the list of Startups benefitted	preference in	an
	procurement	as shared by State/UT	procurement	preference given to
		as shared by State / 01		startups,
		<u>ተ</u> ነ		under the public
		The percentage of respondents		procurement
		aware of and have		norms given by your
		benefitted (Startups) out of		state?
		total respondents		a. Not
		a) >=50%		benefitted
		b) <50%		b. Benefitted
		Weightage = 50%		
14	Grievance Redressal	1.Feedback shall be collected from	List of Startups who	1. Have you received a
	Mechanism for Startups on public	list of Startups who have used the mechanism and	have raised queries relating	
	procurement issues	relevant ecosystem	to public procurement	regarding public
		components as shared by State/UT	issues	procurement
				issue and if yes, how
		The percentage of		satisfied are
		respondents		you with the response
		aware of and are satisfied		received?
		with the grievance redressal mechanism out		a. No
		of total respondents		response received
		a) >=50%		b. Not
		a) <50%		satisfied
		Weightage = $50\%$		c. Moderately satisfied
		n eightaige 5070		d. Highly satisfied

		Awareness and Outreach		
S.N o.	Parameter	Feedback Methodology	Universe of Beneficiaries	Feedback Questionn aire
15	Policy Awareness	<ol> <li>Feedback shall be collected from relevant ecosystem components</li> <li>The percentage of respondents in</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>List of all Startups</li> <li>List of Incubators</li> </ol>	1. Are you aware of the Startup policy created by your state? a. Yes
		<pre>the State/UT aware of the State Startup Policy of the State out of total respondents a) &gt;=50% b) &lt;50%</pre>	<ul><li>3. List of Angel Networks</li><li>4. List of Accelerators</li></ul>	b. No
		Weightage = 100%	5. List of Investors	
			6. List of mentors	
16	Entrepreneurship Program	<ul> <li>1. Feedback shall be collected from trainees list as shared by State/ UT and ecosystem components</li> <li>The percentage of respondents in the State/UT aware of and satisfied with the training programs out of the total respondents</li> <li>a) &gt;=50%</li> <li>b) &lt;50%</li> <li>Weightage = 50%</li> </ul>	List of students enrolled in entrepreneursh ip programs	any entrepreneu rship training programs organized by the State Govt and if yes, how satisfied are you with the response received? a. Not enrolled in training program b. Not
				satisfied c. Moderately

**4.2.4** Awareness and Outreach: The feedback evaluation in this area of intervention shall be done as follows:

17	Entrepreneurshi p cells (E-Cells)	<ol> <li>Feedback shall be collected from students and head of entrepreneurship cells as shared by State/UT on activities undertaken by the entrepreneurship cell.</li> <li>The percentage of respondents</li> </ol>	List of students registered with E-cells	satisfied d. Highly satisfied 1. Have you benefitted through an Entreprene urship-cell set up in your college/uni versity? a. Not
		aware and/or benefitted of the entrepreneurship Cell's activities in educational institutes out of the		benefitted b. Benefitted
		total respondents a) >=50%		
		b) <50% Weightage = 50%		

#### 4.3 Selection of Respondents & Score Consideration

**Selection:** For each Action Point where feedback is to be collected; minimum 30 beneficiaries shall be selected on random basis from universe of beneficiaries. If the total universe comprises of less than 30 beneficiaries, all shall be selected. Feedback may be sought from Startups recognised under Startup India and other relevant ecosystem components registered under Startup India Hub. States may also be requested for more information in respect of any feedback response.

**Consideration:** Response of first 30 calls where feedback is obtained shall be counted towards scoring against any "Action Point", as applicable.

#### 4.4 Calculation of Score

Score will be calculated for each State on a specific Action Point. The survey for each action Point may comprise of one or more question.

#### Case a: For Action Points: 2, 13, 23, 26, 27, 28, 29, 36

- i. For Action Points, the scoring shall be done on following basis:
  - a. Option a Not Benefitted
  - b. Option b Benefitted
- ii. For a single "Action Point" when 50% or more beneficiaries have responded with Option "b", the feedback score to state shall be awarded

#### Case b: For Action Points: 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 24, 30, 35

- iii. For Action Points, the scoring shall be done on following basis:
  - a. Option a Not used
  - b. Option b Not satisfied
  - c. Option c Moderately satisfied
  - d. Option d Highly Satisfied
- iv. For a single "Action Point" when 50% or more beneficiaries have responded with Option "c" or "d", the feedback score to state shall be awarded

# Case c: For Action Points: 31

- i. For Action Point, the scoring shall be done on following basis:
  - a. Option a Yes
  - b. Option b No
- ii. For a single "Action Point" when 50% or more beneficiaries have responded with Option "b", the feedback score to state shall be awarded
- 5. The timelines for the State Startup Ranking 2018 are as follows:
  - Last date for submission of supporting document(s) by State Government on the Ranking Dashboard is 30<sup>th</sup> April 2018
  - Last date for answering clarification questions is **30**<sup>th</sup> **April 2018**
  - Evaluation shall start parallel to document submission by States. This is to be completed in May 2018
  - Stakeholder feedback exercise in May 2018
  - Announcement of ranking results in June 2018

The State efforts over the **period January 1, 2016 to April 30, 2018** shall be considered valid for the purposed of ranking this year. The period of consideration for each of these action points has already been approved as Annexure to Clarifications on operational guidelines for evaluation vide file No. 5(77)/2017-SI Pt-1. (Flagged as "C")

# 6. Evaluation Methodology

- 6.1 Action point requiring supporting documents shall be first evaluated by PMU team at Startup division of DIPP in accordance with the scoring scheme given in the ranking framework. This evaluation shall be presented before evaluation committees.
- 6.2 Action points requiring feedback of stakeholder shall be evaluated by Startup India Hub Team in accordance with the methodology given in para 6. This evaluation shall be presented before evaluation committees.
- 6.3 The Evaluation Committee for each of the implementation area shall assign the 'implementation score', 'feedback score' and provide 'Total score' to all States in relevant area of intervention.
- 6.4 Scores given by all evaluation committees to different States shall be combined to arrive at the final composite score of States to determine the final rankings.
- 6.5 State rankings shall be in descending order of the final composite scores.

Submitted for approval please