

Government of India Ministry of Commerce and Industry Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade



## **STATES RANKING 2020**

### **On Support to Startup Ecosystems**





**DECEMBER 1, 2020** 

STARTUP INDIA

Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade

#### **General Principles**

- 1. The framework would evaluate progress made from 1st October 2019 to 31st July 2021.
- 2. For the purposes of evaluation, all States and UTs have been divided into two categories Category A and Category B.
- 3. The scores to different Action Points may be divided into the following categories:
  - a) Absolute scoring
  - b) Absolute scoring with feedback
  - c) Relative scoring
  - d) Relative scoring with feedback

#### Scoring in Action Points with Absolute Scoring

- 4. For Action Points where scores are assigned on the basis of documentary evidence, scores shall be awarded on the basis of compliance.
- 5. For Action Points in which scores will be awarded based on beneficiary feedback, a 70% positive feedback will result in acceptance of the number of claimed beneficiaries or documentary evidence. Scores will be awarded on the basis of the metric specified in the Action Point. Where positive feedback is less than 70%, claimed beneficiaries will be considered to be zero (or documentary evidence will be rejected) and no score shall be awarded.

#### Scoring in Action Points with Relative Scoring

- 6. Relative Scoring will be conducted separately for Category A and Category B.
- 7. For Action Points where scores will be awarded on the basis of documentary evidence, the State with maximum accepted value of metric will be awarded full score. Other States will be awarded score in proportion to their performance vis-à-vis the State with the maximum value of the metric.
- 8. For Action Points where scores will be awarded on the basis of beneficiary feedback, a 70% positive feedback will result in acceptance of the number of claimed beneficiaries. Where positive feedback is less than 70%, claimed beneficiaries will be considered to be zero and no score shall be awarded.

S. No.	Reform Area	Number of APs	Number of APs with Absolute Scoring	Number of APs with Relative Scoring
1	Institutional Support	5	4	1
2	Fostering Innovation & Entrepreneurship	4	2	2
3	Access to Market	5	3	2
4	Incubation Support	4	1	3
5	Funding Support	3	2	1
6	Mentorship Support	2	2	0
7	Capacity Building of Enablers	3	2	1
	Total		16	10

#### Feedback Collection Mechanism

- Registered Startups with the Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT) and/or States/UTs will be considered as "Registered Startups" for feedback
- States and UTs shall provide contact details of beneficiaries in respective action points as per the format provided by DPIIT.
- Documentary evidence of compliance on action points shall be a pre-requisite for collection of beneficiary feedback.
- In general, a **sample of fifty (50) respondents** shall be selected randomly from claimed beneficiaries for feedback for Category A. A **70% positive feedback** will result in acceptance of the number of claimed beneficiaries/ documentary evidence
- For Category A States, in general, where the number of beneficiaries is less than 50, no feedback will be taken, and zero score shall be awarded unless it is specifically mentioned in the action point that there is no minimum beneficiary criterion.
- For Category B States/UTs, the criteria of at least 50 beneficiaries will not be insisted upon.
- In case of action point where there is no minimum beneficiary criterion, all claimed beneficiaries up to maximum of 50 will be selected for feedback.

#### Combining the Overall Scores

- 9. Based on scores on all action points, overall scores for each State or UT shall be calculated.
- 10. Within each category, States and UTs will be graded based on their relative performance into 3-5 grades.
- 11. Best performing States/UTs shall also be graded in each framework pillar to recognize their performance.
- 12. In addition, individual officers shall also be awarded for their significant contribution to strengthen Startup ecosystem under their jurisdiction

#### Illustration: Relative Scoring with Feedback

#### Maximum score= 2

S. No.	Category A States	Claimed Beneficiaries	Feedback calls	Positive Responses	Accepted Beneficiaries	Relative Score
1	State 1	156	50	40	156	1.56
2	State 2	45	0	0	0	0.00
3	State 3	60	50	30	0	0.00
4	State 4	108	50	35	108	1.08
5	State 5	200	50	38	200	2.00 (Max)
6	State 6	180	50	37	180	1.8
7	State 7	55	40	0	0	0.00
8	State 8	85	50	38	85	0.85
9	State 9	135	50	43	135	1.35
10	State 10	50	50	40	50	0.50

#### **Process of feedback scoring:**

- 1. **Case 1:** In case of 'State 2', since the number of claimed beneficiaries are less than 50, the feedback shall not be collected.
- 2. **Case 2:** For 'State 7', the number of claimed beneficiaries are greater than 50. However, the number of successful calls to respondents are less than 50 (i.e. 40 calls), the State has not been considered for feedback.
- 3. **Case 3:** In case of 'State 3', positive response was received only from 30 respondents (out of 50) which is less than 70%. Hence zero score is awarded.
- 4. All States **except State 2, State 3 and State 7** have qualified for assigning scores since positive feedback is received from at-least 70% respondents. For all these States, the **claimed beneficiaries** have been accepted.
- 5. Thus, **State 5** with maximum number of **accepted beneficiaries** i.e. 200 Startups has been awarded **maximum score (2 score).**
- 6. Rest of the **States** will be awarded scores in proportion to their accepted beneficiaries vis-à-vis the score obtained by State 5.

#### Illustration: Relative Scoring without Feedback

#### Maximum score= 2

S. No.	Category A States	Claimed number of programs	Accepted number of programs	Relative Score
1	State 1	9	6	1
2	State 2	5	0	0
3	State 3	4	3	0.5
4	State 4	9	7	1.17
5	State 5	15	12	2.00 (Max)
6	State 6	20	5	0.83
7	State 7	17	10	1.67
8	State 8	8	5	0.83
9	State 9	3	3	0.5
10	State 10	10	5	0.83

#### **Process of scoring:**

- 1. All the States and UTs are required to submit documentary evidence for the claimed number of programs, as specified in the Action Points.
- 2. The claimed programs are accepted or rejected as per the documentary evidence requirement specified in the Action Point. All the documentary evidence requested for in the Action Point are required to be submitted for the program to be considered for evaluation
- 3. For the above illustration, **State 5** with maximum number of accepted programs, i.e., 12 programs, has been awarded the maximum score (2 score)
- 4. Rest of the States shave been awarded scores in proportion of their accepted programs vis-à-vis the score obtained by State 5

#### **Categorization for States and UTs**

The categorization in this years' framework aims to bring uniformity in the evaluation process and bring all the States and UTs on a level-playing field.

- Category A includes States and UTs except those in Category B
  - o Generally, these are State/UTs with a population of more than 1 crore<sup>1</sup>
- Category B includes States and UTs which satisfy the following criteria:
  - o All States/UTs with a population of less than 1 crore<sup>2</sup>
  - o All UTs except Delhi
  - o All North-eastern States except Assam

#### Categorization of States and UTs

Category A	Category B
Andhra Pradesh	Andaman and Nicobar Islands
Assam	Arunachal Pradesh
Bihar	Chandigarh
Chhattisgarh	Dadra and Nagar Haveli and Daman and Diu
Gujarat	Goa
Haryana	Himachal Pradesh
Jharkhand	Jammu and Kashmir
Karnataka	Ladakh
Kerala	Lakshadweep
Madhya Pradesh	Manipur
Maharashtra	Meghalaya
NCT of Delhi	Mizoram
Odisha	Nagaland
Punjab	Puducherry
Rajasthan	Sikkim
Tamil Nadu	Tripura
Telangana	
Uttar Pradesh	
Uttarakhand	
West Bengal	

#### **Ranking Framework Overview**

S. No.	Reform Area	Number of APs	Area- wise Score	Scores for APs requiring documents	Scores for APs requiring feedback
1	Institutional Support	5	21	16	5
2	Fostering Innovation & Entrepreneurship	4	14	11	3
3	Access to Market	5	16	12	4
4	Incubation Support	4	17	10	7
5	Funding Support	3	14	12	2
6	Mentorship Support	2	8	0	8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Census 2011

<sup>2</sup>Census 2011

7	Capacity Building of Enablers	3	10	10	0
Total		26	100	71	29

## Reform Area 1: Institutional Support

### Action Point 1: Access to Information through State Startup Portal

Reform Area	Institutional Support								
	Is the following information available through the State Startup Portal? (all 12 points)								
	1. State Startup Policy								
			Nodal Department and Ded	icated team f	or startups				
	3. Dedicated helpline or o		•						
		_	API integration with Startu	•					
			vals and clearances required	tor registerir	ng and				
Ougstion	operating a startup in		e tors and accelerators, includ	lina Inqubatio	n cupport				
Question	, .		procedures to avail the same	•	ii support				
	-	-	State to provide mentorsh						
	_		with clearly laid out proced		he same				
			vailable, with clearly laid ou						
	·		h clearly laid out procedure	•					
			cts introduced or amended						
			ng institutional support to s						
Scoring Criteria	Absolute Scoring								
	Access to Information		Maximum Score - 6	Document					
Scoring Metric	through State Startup	Score	(0.5 for each sub-point)	Weightage	100%				
	Portal		(o.o for each out point)	110.880					
Supporting	1. URL and Screenshot fo	r each s	ub-point						
documents to be			ate startup portal covering a	all sub-points					
provided by the state	If any policy other that	n the n	otified Startup policy define	es Startun for	the nurnose of				
				-					
	getting applicable benefits and incentives of the State Startup policy, the same shall be hosted in public domain on State Startup Portal								
	2. State Startup Portal to be linked to Startup India hub through API integration. A								
	screenshot of the Login page with an option of logging-in through DIPP number may be								
	submitted for the purpose of evaluation								
	3. The option to login through Startup India portal shall be visible on State login pages. URL								
	to be linked with Government server to ensure safety and security of data.								
	4. Contact details of dedicated helpline or call center for startups to be made available on								
	State Startup Portal								
Cuidalina	5. Startup Registration process and real-time application tracking feature should be								
Guidelines	present on the Startup Portal								
	6. States and UTs that do not have a separate registration process but accept DPIIT recognition to disburse incentives will be considered for the purpose of evaluation,								
	_		PI integration on their porta		e or evaluation,				
	·		d incubators, accelerators, a		be available on				
	the State Startup Porta		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
			the State Startup portal ald	ong with their	contact details.				
	Contact details of the	State F	Point of Contact for respec	ctive incubato	rs shall also be				
	provided for the purpo								
			on State Startup Portal shou	ld outline med	chanism to assist				
	startups in obtaining in								
	10. Clear mechanism to co	nnect w	vith a mentor should be ava	10. Clear mechanism to connect with a mentor should be available on State Startup Portal					

Details of Public Procurement support on the State Startup Portal should include information on relaxations in prior experience, prior turnover and exemption in EMD criteria, in public procurement processes
 This Action Point will encapsulate online mechanism to provide all types of funding support to startups, including seed funding, subsidized loans, government grants, sustenance allowance, debt or equity financing through incubators, venture funding, amongst others
 Details of Funding Support to clearly lay down: Eligibility Criteria, Extent of Funding and Application Procedure
 Details of rules, regulations, Acts introduced or amended to support Startups to be clearly stated on the State Startup Portal
 Incubation Support, Public Procurement Support, Mentorship Support and Funding Support, if mentioned only in the Startup Policy, will not be considered for the purpose of evaluation
 All information should be available on the State Startup Portal during the period of

#### Action Point 2: Online translation feature to local language(s) on State Startup Portal

consideration.

Reform Area	Institutional Support						
Question	Is the information on t State?	s the information on the State Startup Portal available in the local/official language of the State?					
Scoring Criteria	Absolute Scoring						
Option	a) Yes b) No	Score	a) 2 b) 0	Document Weightage	100%		
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	Note on language f     Screenshots and U		es of State Startup Ponctionality of same co		more) languages		
Guidelines	the State Startup P 2. Information on the languages of the St 3. All local or official I the State Startup P	ortal in the State Starte ate, in additanguages to ortal	official language(s) of up Portal should be avition to English, if any which translation is a	the State. vailable in at le available must	to all information on ast one of the official be evidently listed on functional during the		

## Action Point 3: Access to unified web-platform for startups to submit requests or grievances

Reform Area	Institutional Support						
Question	Is there an online platform for startups to submit requests or grievances on the following points? (all 5 points)  1. Incubation support  2. Funding support  3. Public Procurement grievances  4. Invite regulatory issues faced by startups  5. Any other query or grievance						
Scoring Criteria	Absolute Scoring						
Scoring Metric	a) Yes b) No	1 ' Score ' 100%					
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	<ol> <li>URL and Screenshots of online unified web-platform to submit requests or grievances</li> <li>List of startups that have submitted requests or queries or grievances through the web platform</li> </ol>						
Guidelines	<ol> <li>The State should establish grievances and addressal of the subsidized incubation in platform.</li> <li>Requests or grievances or funding, subsidized loans, financing through incubated platform</li> <li>Requests or grievances or instantial statements or grievances or instantial statements.</li> <li>The web platform should have and to understand their regrees.</li> </ol>	if requests issues on State-supplissues on powernments, venturents on renent procedure and onli	for availing incer incubation applic ported incubator rovision to avail ent grants, suste e funding, amon elaxations, exem sses to be address ne institutional n	ntives cation proced ors should be all types of fuenance allowa gst others, to ptions, and pr ssed on the pl	ure, and provision of e addressed on the nding, including seed ance, debt or equity be addressed on the reference provided to atform		

### Action Point 4: Number of women-led startups receiving special incentives

Reform Area	Institutional Support						
Question	How many unique women-led startups have received support through special incentives?						
Scoring Criteria	Relative Scoring						
Scoring Metric	Number of unique women- led startups receiving support (No minimum threshold)	Score	Maximum Score - 5	Feedback Weightage	100%		
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	<ol> <li>Policy or scheme document cove incentives for women-led startup</li> <li>List of unique women-led startup by State</li> <li>Details of incentive provided to e</li> </ol>	Feedback Question	Have you availed any special benefits or incentives from the State?  A. Yes  B. No				
Guidelines	<ol> <li>States should have a clear defining information should be published</li> <li>For the purpose of evaluation, on shall be considered as a woman-</li> <li>Special incentives offered should Seed funding, Venture funding, development workshops, provising grants.</li> <li>Details of incentives provided to incentive, and extent of incentive</li> <li>The incentives provided for evaconsideration</li> </ol>	in the public ly startups wi led startup fo be notified a Procuremen on of Subsidiz o women-led e (Eg. Amount	domain  Ith a woman as  Ith a woman as  Ith this Action P  Ith a should enta  Ith Incubation  Ith Incubation  Ith Startups show  Ith of funding pro	Founder or Co oint il at least one of support, Ment keting assistand uld entail prov ovided)	-Founder or CXO of the following - corship, Capacity ce or Stipends or vision of type of		

## Action Point 5: Number of State government departments providing institutional support to startups (excludes support from nodal department)

Reform Area	Institutional Support							
Question	How many State departments provide institutional support to startups? (excludes support							
Question	from nodal department)							
Scoring Criteria	Absolute Scoring							
Scoring Metric	Number of departments providing institutional support to startups	Score	Maximum Score - 5 1 score for each department* (*5 departments = 5 score)	Document Weightage	100%			
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	<ol> <li>Orders detailing schemes</li> <li>Details of support provid</li> </ol>	s of depar ed	rtments					
Guidelines	providing institutional support to startups  Score   1 score for each department* (*5 departments = 5 score)   100%  1. List of departments providing institutional support to startups 2. Orders detailing schemes of departments							

### Reform Area 2: Fostering Innovation and Entrepreneurship

### Action Point 6: Number of unique startups registered

Reform Area	Fostering Innovation and Entrepreneurship						
Question	How many startups have been registered from the State?						
Scoring Criteria	Relative Scoring						
Scoring Metric	Metric Number of unique Startups registered Score Maximum Score - 4		Maximum Score - 4	Document Weightage	100%		
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	_	<ol> <li>List of State registered startups</li> <li>Contact details of registered startups</li> </ol>					
Guidelines	Industry and Interna Startups" for the pure 2. Unique startups the registration mechan evaluation 3. Only the startups reconsidered for the pure startups.	I Trade (DPI rpose of evant at are registism, will be egistered be urpose of e	stered on the State star e considered as register efore the submission tir	vill be considere rtup portal or t ed startups for meline of 31 <sup>st</sup> Ju	through a State the purpose of ally 2021 will be		

## Action Point 7: Number of rules, regulations, Acts introduced or amended to support startups in disruptive sectors

Reform Area	Fostering Innovation and Entrepreneurship								
Question		ow many rules, regulations, Acts have been introduced or amended to support startups							
•	in disruptive sectors?								
Scoring Criteria	Absolute scoring								
	Number of rules, Maximum Score - 5								
Cooring Matric	regulations and Acts	Coore	1 score for each rule,	Document	100%				
Scoring Metric	amended or introduced	Score	regulation, Act	Weightage	100%				
	to support startups		introduced or amended						
Supporting	1. List of rules, regulation	ns or Act	s amended or introduced by	the State					
Documents to be	2. URL and Snapshot of p	ortal ha	ving details of such amendm	ents					
provided by the State	3. GO or notification for	startups	in disruptive technology are	as or business	models				
	1. State response agains	t this Ac	tion Point shall be considere	d for purpose	of evaluation				
	only if Government ha	as issued	GO or notification for startu	ıps in disruptiv	e technology				
	areas or business mod	lels (with	in period of consideration)						
	2. Regulations should be made considering long- term impact of the technology or								
	business model								
Guidelines	3. The amendments and their details to be provided on State Startup Portal								
Galacinics			isruptive technology is an i		,				
	alters the way that co	onsumers	s, industries, or businesses (	operate. Secto	rs concerned				
	may include e-comme	erce, onli	ne news sites, ride-sharing a	apps, GPS syst	ems, Fintech,				
	AI/ML/Cybersecurity,	Blockch	ain, Big Data, Transport/	Urban mobilit	y/ E-Vehicle,				
	Biotech/ Healthcare/ F	E-Pharma	acy, Civil Aviation/ Drones, T	ourism/ Trave	ltech, Labour				
	laws, State Pollution C	Control n	orms						

## Action Point 8: Number of programs undertaken in Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) to support student entrepreneurs

Reform Area	Fostering Innovation and Entrepreneurship								
Question	How many programs have been undertaken in Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) to support student entrepreneurs?								
Scoring Criteria	Absolute Scoring								
	a) >20 programs		a)	>10 programs		a)	2		
Options (Category	b) 10-20 programs	Options (Category	b)	5- 10 programs	Score	b)	1	Document	100%
A)	c) 1-9 programs	B)	c)	1- 4 programs	Score	c)	0.5	Weightage	10070
Common antina	d) Nil		d)	Nil		d)	0		
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	<ol> <li>List of programs ur</li> <li>Summary report wr</li> <li>participated, activities</li> <li>Guideline Docume</li> <li>URL and Screenshot</li> </ol>	ith details sucl ties performed nt ot	k						
Guidelines	mentorshi     Provide co     Conduct ic     Conduct benefits     Create an entreprene 8. Each program colle 9. In case of Bootca components:     Ideation w     Mentor wo     Startup Inc     Pitching se     At least 10	clude Busines Programs, pr and any other and any other and programs, hall be conside de by the Sta er Education In organizations of eurs shall be co dertaken in He ion. t entail at lease reneurship Co p, pitch session urses in entrep leation and bra i-annual session d facilitate in eurs ectively must re mps being con orkshop to tell orkshop by a su dia Session on	is Provision of the provision of the grant of the grant of the grant of the provision of th	an workshops, for on of a gap year focused on enction letter with professions and the consideration of the following: to aid students and opportunities for evertaking programs and opportunities for eurship as part of orming workshops with Startup India aship opportunities are out to a minimum atted in HEIs, each and incentives of the following: to aid students with Startup India aship opportunities are out to a minimum atted in HEIs, each and incentives of the following workshops with Startup India aship opportunities and the entrepreneur effits and incentives of the following workshops with Startup India aship opportunities are did as a minimum attention of the following workshops with Startup India aship opportunities and incentives of the following workshops with Startup India aship opportunities and incentives of the following workshops with Startup India aship opportunities and incentives of the following workshops with Startup India aship opportunities and incentives of the following workshops with Startup India aship opportunities and incentives of the following workshops with Startup India aship opportunities are considered for the following:	aculty a ar entre entrepre proof of ernment dered fo at Highe ose of e entrepre with the or networ the curr s at regult to infor es for s n of 100 a Bootca dation, p	awar epre neun fund age r the er Ec evalu eneu eir e forkin iculu ilar i tude stude stude mp	reness neursh rship d ds alloo encies, e purpo ducatic ation. Its shall ntreprog with um nterva cudents to dents. must	sessions, Bo sip program, evelopment cated during the nodal institutes of evaluation Institutes to Il be considered eneurial goals investors Is during schools of the incent to be able to fulfill all the	deferred he period lites, and ion. o support ed for the a – active ol year tives and shadow

### Action Point 9: Number of unique grassroot or innovations with rural impact supported

Reform Area	Fostering Innovation and Entrepreneurship							
Question	How many unique grassroot or innovations with rural impact have been supported?							
Scoring Criteria	Relative Scoring	Relative Scoring						
Scoring Metric	Number of unique grassroot or rural innovations supported (no minimum threshold)  Score Maximum Score - 3			Feedback Weightage	100%			
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	incentives for grassroot inno  2. List of unique grassroot inno supported	1. Policy or scheme document covering incentives for grassroot innovations 2. List of unique grassroot innovations supported  Feedback Question			Have you availed any special benefits or incentives from the State?  A. Yes  B. No			
Guidelines	<ol> <li>States should have a clear do rural innovations and this inf</li> <li>Special incentives offered sh funding, Venture funding, P workshops, provision of subs</li> <li>Details of incentives provide extent of incentive (eg. Amora, Scheme document covering in purpose of evaluation. It is not some consideration</li> </ol>	ormation ould be reported by the sidized load to the unt of functive ot manda	n should notified a ent, Incu ans, Mar startups nding pro s for gras atory to i	be published in the classification in the classification in the published in the classification in the published in the publi	n the public dor east one of the for corship, Capacity nce or Stipends provision of type ate of provision tions will be con	nain collowing - Seed by development or grants. oe of incentive, disidered for the ortup Policy.		

#### Reform Area 3: Access to Market

## Action Point 10: Relaxations in the criteria to increase participation of startups in public procurement

Reform Area	Access to Market						
Question	Have the relaxations in following criteria been taken to increase participation of startups in public procurement?  (a) 'Prior experience' criteria  (b) 'Prior turnover' criteria  (c) 'Submission of EMD' criteria						
Scoring Criteria	Absolute Scoring						
Scoring Metric	a) Yes b) No	Score	a) 2 b) 0	Document Weightage	100%		
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	Government order     Sample RFPs where		tion outlining relaxations are provided	ons			
Guidelines	only if Governmen from public procur 2. Sample RFPs where evaluation 3. Public procuremen not be considered 4. For the purpose o	t has issued rement e exemption at exemption of evaluation	tion Point shall be cor I GO or notification of ns have been provided ns in the State Startup n, all three criteria, 'Poe relaxed to 100%	outlining exempt are mandatory for policy (even if no	ions for startups or the purpose of tified) <b>only</b> , shall		

## Action Point 11: Number of programs undertaken to encourage the participation of startups in public procurement activities

Reform Area	Access to Market									
Question	How many programs have been undertaken to encourage the participation of startups in public procurement activities?									
Scoring Criteria	Absolute Scoring									
Options (Category A)	a) >10 programs b) 5 - 10 programs c) 1 - 4 programs d) Nil									
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	<ol> <li>List of programs undertaken with participation from startups</li> <li>Guideline documents for the programs conducted</li> <li>List of attendees for programs conducted</li> </ol>									
Guidelines	<ol> <li>The Action Point will include programs aimed to connect startups with relevant State Government departments, Central Public Sector Enterprises (CPSEs) present in the State, State PSEs (as per State list), and other government organizations, to explore potential pilot projects</li> <li>The programs may include GeM workshops, Buyer-Seller Meets, Demo or Demand Days, Grand Challenges or Hackathons, Innovation Zones, and/or any other innovative product showcase opportunity</li> <li>The potential buyers for the purpose of this Action Point will only be state government departments, CPSEs present State, State PSEs, and other organizations funded by State government</li> <li>Any activity or program undertaken by the State departments to assist in Covid19 relief measures by sourcing from startups will also be considered</li> <li>Virtual programs undertaken during the period of consideration may also be considered for the purpose of evaluation, provided a valid URL and Screenshot is provided</li> <li>For Hackathons and Grand Challenges, an official document highlighting the following details shall be considered for evaluation:         <ul> <li>Problem Statements</li> <li>Focus areas and sectors</li> <li>Date and venue of the Hackathon or Grand Challenge</li> <li>Duration (Minimum 48 hours)</li> <li>Number of participants or startups (100 Minimum)</li> <li>Role of State Government</li> </ul> </li> <li>For Buyer-Seller Meet and Demo or Demand Days, following guidelines may be followed:         <ul> <li>The State or UT response shall be considered for the purpose of evaluation only if event reports or records of discussion for the events has been shared</li> <li>An official document highlighting the following details shall be considered for evaluation:</li></ul></li></ol>									

# Action Point 12: Number of programs undertaken to provide product showcase opportunities to startups (for corporates, industry associations, and other private sector stakeholders)

Reform Area	Access to Market									
Question		How many programs have been supported to provide showcase opportunities for startups? (for corporates, industry associations, & other private sector stakeholders)								
Scoring Criteria	Absolute Scoring				_					
Options (Category A)	<ul><li>a) &gt;=6 programs</li><li>b) 3-5 programs</li><li>c) 1-2 programs</li><li>d) Nil</li></ul>	Options (Category B)	<ul><li>a) &gt;=3 programs</li><li>b) 2 programs</li><li>c) 1 program</li><li>d) Nil</li></ul>	Score	<ul><li>a) 2</li><li>b) 1</li><li>c) 0.5</li><li>d) 0</li></ul>	Document Weightage	100%			
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	<ol> <li>Summary of product sl</li> <li>Guideline document fo</li> <li>Program-wise list of at stakeholders)</li> </ol>	or all prograr ttendees (cor	ns undertaken porates, industry o							
Guidelines	for startups through processing the consideration  3. The potential buyers as corporates, industry as 4. Virtual programs under purpose of evaluation, 5. The Action Point will industry organisations, • Corporate Innovat • Showcase Days • National and Intern • Any other innovati • Exhibition and/or processing • Participation of start • Description of dephighlighted on the 7. For other showcase op • The State or UT reports or records • Report document • Sector theme • Demand from • Date and venue	roduct shows idered only for as per the Act is sociations, for taken during, provided a vinclude program in ational Every ive product shational Every pitching sessurtups from response shate of discussion highlighting or Problem State every potential buse of the every mat least in a portunities, in the state of the every potential buse of the every mat least in the state of the every material in the every material in the state of the every material in the state of the every material in the every ma	case opportunities or product showcas or product showcas or product showcas or product showcas and of the period of corvalid URL and Scree grams aimed to consector stakeholders.  Ints howcase opportunits, the following guide protunity available protal the following guide ll be considered for for the events has the following detail tatements yers, i.e, intended or product of the considered for the events has the following detail tatements	ty idelines males or Country to Startupines shall be the purpose should be deployment	sector sta sector sta may also be vided cups with y be followed es through e followed ose of evand shared for opportuni	ded during the akeholders, in keholders be considered relevant corporate wed:  I the event make	Period cluding for the orates,			

## Action Point 13: Number of unique startups availing public procurement related relaxations/exemptions/programs

Reform Area	Access to Market	Access to Market						
Question	How many unique startups have avai relaxations/exemptions/programs?	How many unique startups have availed benefits of public procurement relaxations/exemptions/programs?						
Scoring Criter	Relative Scoring							
Scoring Metri	Number of unique startups which have availed benefits  Score	Maximum Score - 4 Feedback Weightage 100%						
Supporting Documents to provided by th State	, , ,	Feedback Question  Have you benefitted from any public procurement relaxations/ exemptions/programs through State government? A. Yes B. No						
Guidelines	or Demand Days, Grand Challenge Programs, National or Internation product showcase opportunity, s  2. Benefits availed by unique state consideration shall be considered or state considered for the purpose of evaluation shall be considered for the purpose of evaluation with other limited tenders, single tenders, purpose of evaluation  5. Startups availing relaxations in purpose of evaluation shall be considered for the purpose of virtual programs undertaken duri	ue startups registered in the State or with DPIIT will be lluation. tion Point will include participation in GeM related public procurement processes by the State, including electronic reverse auctions, may be considered for the rior turnover, prior experience and submission of EMD						

### Action Point 14: Number of unique startups awarded purchase orders or work orders

Reform Area	Access to Market						
Question	How many unique startups have been awarded work orders?						
Scoring Criteria	Relative Scoring						
Scoring Metric	Number of unique startups awarded purchase or work orders  Score Maximum Score - 6 Pocument Weightage 100%						
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	<ol> <li>List of beneficiary startups which have been awarded work-orders</li> <li>Copies of purchase or work-orders</li> </ol>						
Guidelines	<ol> <li>List of startups awarded work orders through any State government procurement processes will be considered for evaluation, including Limited tenders, single tenders, electronic reverse auctions, amongst others</li> <li>List of startups awarded work orders as a part of programs such as Buyer-Seller Meets, Demo or Demand Days, Grand Challenges or Hackathons, Innovation Zones, and/or any other innovative product showcase opportunity may also be considered for this Action Point</li> <li>List of State registered startups awarded work orders by the State government through GeM Portal will also be considered for the purpose of evaluation</li> <li>For work orders received through GeM, only the work orders by the particular States' government would be considered for the purpose of evaluation</li> <li>State or UT should provide purchase orders or work orders to startups through a transparent mechanism</li> <li>Copies of purchase orders or work orders provided should have been issued in the Period of Consideration</li> <li>Minimum value of work-order or purchase-order should be ₹50,000 per startup</li> </ol>						

### Reform Area 4: Incubation Support

### Action Point 15: Number of new incubators set-up or existing incubators upgraded

Reform Area	Incubation Support								
Question	How many new incubators have been set-up or existing incubators been upgraded?								
Scoring Criteria	Absolute scoring								
Options (Category A)	<ul><li>a) &gt;12 incubators</li><li>b) 5 - 12 incubators</li><li>c) 1- 4 incubators</li><li>d) Nil</li></ul>	Options (Category B)	a) b) c) d)	>6 incubators 2 - 6 incubators 1 incubator Nil	Score	<ul><li>a) 5</li><li>b) 3</li><li>c) 1</li><li>d) 0</li></ul>	Documents Weightage	100%	
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	<ol> <li>List of incubators se</li> <li>Proof of release of f</li> <li>Acknowledgement</li> </ol>	funds	•	. •		provided	by State gover	nment	
Guidelines	<ol> <li>State should provided incubators or upgrated or operational expensional expen</li></ol>	eding existing enditure. Incubators for of incubators for upgrading for Setting-Lallotment of soutlining emented by a purpose of provisions of the provisions of the enditude of the provisions of the enditude of the provisions of the enditude of the endit	or the ors some of the ors some or som	e purpose of evalua upported during the kisting incubators — ₹10 Lakh of for incubators with provision of supported for release of fundation.  Supporting incubators	tion will e period ₹3 Lakh ns per ind ill also be rt for inc ds. Subm bators in	be a sum of conside s per incu cubator e conside subators s nission of any forr	be in the form  of number of ideration  ubator  red for evaluat  shall only consiproof of releas  m will not be contact  ate or UT Star	of capital ncubators ion. idered for e of funds onsidered tup policy	

### Action Point 16: Number of startups receiving incubation support

Reform Area	Incubation Support						
Question	How many startups are receiving incubation support?						
Scoring Criteria	Relative scoring	Relative scoring					
Scoring Metric	Number of unique startups supported (no minimum threshold)	Score	Maximum Score - 5  Feedback Weightage  100%				
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	List of beneficiary startups receiving incubation support	Feedback Question	<ol> <li>Free or Subsidized incubation at State-supported incubator</li> <li>Rebate on incubation</li> <li>Direct grant for incubation from State government</li> </ol>				
Guidelines	period of consider  Details of specific workspace, labs, to see the considered for the see that the see	<ol> <li>4. Other form of support</li> <li>The list of beneficiaries will only include startups which have received incubation during the period of consideration.</li> <li>Details of specific support provided in Incubators to startups (Eg. technical assistance, workspace, labs, testing zones, etc.) must be shared for the purpose of evaluation.</li> <li>Partnerships of incubators with Indian or International companies, institutions or organizations including PSUs, including their offerings to startups must be outlined</li> <li>Subsidized Incubation provided by State government through private incubators will also be considered for the purpose of evaluation</li> <li>Incubation provided through incubators of other States, subsidized by the State government will also be considered for the purpose of evaluation</li> <li>Virtual incubation programs conducted or supported by the State Government shall also be considered for the purpose of evaluation</li> <li>In case of virtual incubation, URL and screenshot with details of specific support provided,</li> </ol>					

### Action Point 17: Capacity utilization of State-supported incubators

Reform Area	Access to Market								
Question	How many seats have been allocated to startups in State-supported incubators?								
Scoring Criteria	Relative Scoring								
Scoring Metric	Number of seats allocated to inique startups out of total seats in tate-supported incubators  Score  Maximum Score - 5  Document Weightage								
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	<ol> <li>List of incubators, supported by State Government, with the total number of seats at each incubator</li> <li>Acknowledgement letter or certificate from incubators specifying the number of seats allocated to startups</li> <li>List of startups provided incubation through State supported incubators, along with proof of incubation provided</li> <li>Proof of release of funds or Sanction Letters or Government Orders or Proof of Allocation of Land</li> </ol>								
Guidelines	<ol> <li>Capacity of State-supported incubators shall be calculated as total number of seats allocated to unique startups during the period of consideration divided by the total number of seats in all State-supported incubators as of 31<sup>st</sup> July 2021</li> <li>Number of seats allocated to startups will be calculated as a sum of total number of seats allocated to unique startups in the list of State-supported incubators.</li> <li>Total number of seats in incubators will be calculated as a sum of total seats in all the list of incubators provided. Both these values will be considered from 1<sup>st</sup> October 2019 to 31<sup>st</sup> July 2021</li> <li>Since the incubators have both fixed seats, as well as hot seats, a seat will considered for the purpose of evaluation only if it has been utilised by a startup for at least one man-day (Minimum of 8 hours in one day)</li> <li>Incubators shall be considered for the purpose of evaluation only if they are operational and have been supported by the State</li> <li>Incubators that have received support by State government department during or before the Period of Consideration will be considered for the purpose of evaluation.</li> <li>The State support mapped in this Action Point will be independent of period of consideration.</li> <li>If the sanction letters or approvals for support provided to the incubators do not mention number of seats in the incubator, then, an official document or undertaking specifying the number of seats shall also be considered for the purpose of evaluation.</li> <li>The details and pictures of facilities and services under incubation must be shared for the purpose of evaluation to ascertain whether the incubator is currently operational.</li> <li>Virtual incubation programs conducted or supported by the State Government shall be considered for the purpose of evaluation.</li> <li>In case of virtual incubation, URL and screenshot with details of specific support provided including participants and duration to be shared</li></ol>								

### Action Point 18: Number of startups which enrolled in acceleration programs

Reform Area	Incubation Support						
Question	How many startups have enrolled in acceleration programs?						
Scoring Criteria	Relative scoring						
Scoring Metric	Number of unique startups supported (no minimum threshold)	Score	Maximum Score - 2		Feedback Weightage	100%	
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	<ol> <li>Acceleration program- wi unique startups enrolled program</li> <li>Details of each acceleration program</li> </ol>	Feedback Question	Did you enroll in an acceleration program supported by State Government? A. Yes B. No				
Guidelines	or incubators will not be of 2. Support provided by State of consideration even if the state of consideration even if the state of consideration even if the state of support provided in the state of support profacilities, free trainings, further state of the support profacilities, free trainings, further state of the state of th	<ol> <li>Only MoUs with accelerator partners and support provided under corporate partnerships or incubators will not be considered</li> <li>Support provided by State government for Acceleration Programs shall be within the period of consideration even if the program is in progress and results are yet to be declared.</li> <li>Existing Acceleration Programs will only be accepted if startups from the particular State receive a specific quota or support in it</li> <li>The details of support provided to Startups (Eg. mentorship, free research and development facilities, free trainings, funding etc.) must be shared for the purpose of evaluation.</li> <li>Virtual acceleration programs conducted or supported by the State government may also be considered for the purpose of evaluation</li> </ol>					

### Reform Area 5: Funding Support

## Action Point 19: Access to Seed Funds or Venture Funds or Fund of Funds established by the State government

Reform Area	<b>Funding Support</b>									
Question	Has the State government established a Seed Fund or Venture Fund or Fund of Fund for startups?									
Scoring Criteria	Absolute Scoring									
Options	a) Yes b) No	Score   1   100%								
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	<ol> <li>MoU(s)</li> <li>Budget allocation</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2. MoU(s)</li><li>3. Budget allocation</li></ul>								
Guidelines	of consideration for 2. If the State has prother government state crores (for Categoria). The Budget allocation consideration 4. Following milestor	<ol> <li>The State government should establish or support at least one fund during the period of consideration for the purpose of evaluation</li> <li>If the State has previously established a seed fund or venture fund or fund of funds, the government should allocate at least ₹10 crores (for Category A) and at least ₹5 crores (for Category B) to support the fund during the period of consideration</li> <li>The Budget allocation and disbursement to the fund should be within the period of consideration</li> <li>Following milestones to be reported:         <ul> <li>Operating guidelines for fund designed and approved</li> </ul> </li> </ol>								

## Action Point 20: Number of unique startups which have received funding through State supported funds or mechanisms

Reform Area	Funding Support								
Question	How many unique startups have received funding through State supported funds or mechanisms?								
Scoring Criteria	Relative Scoring	Relative Scoring							
Scoring Metric	Number of unique startups funded	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	<ol> <li>List of unique start</li> <li>Sanction letters to</li> <li>Proof of release of</li> </ol>	be prov	ded, along with the fundi ided	ng amount					
Guidelines	commercialization startups and/or the 2. Startups funded for Government alon considered for the 3. The funds should have Consideration 4. Proof of funding or release of funds is 5. Minimum funding per startup (for Ca for the purpose registered startup Traction Stage, Sca 7. Organizing Startup winning startups a subject to followin Publicly are conducting of cash aw	assista rough in rom Ve g with a purpose nave been commited amount tegory E of evaluation evaluatio	nent will not be consider ory should be ₹3 Lakh per st d) over the period of constation, States and UTs stated in four stages (Idea age) and providing funding it events shall be considerations: Guideline document out tartup events and providing the provided ants available and applications.	dy on loan propered of Funds support date of disbuticiary startups during for Categorial artup (for Categorial artup) (for Categorial art	rted by the State arsement shall be uring the Period of on Point. Proof of ory A) and ₹2 Lakh mit a list of state lation Stage, Early ash awards to the pose of evaluation mism for regularly artups in the form				

## Action Point 21: Number of programs undertaken to connect startups with private funds or investors

Reform Area	Funding Support								
Question	How many programs have been undertaken to connect startups with private funds or investors?								
Scoring Criteria	Absolute scoring								
Options (Category A)	a) >12 programs b) 7-12 programs c) 1-6 programs d) Nil  Options (Catego ry B)  a) >6 programs b) 2-6 programs c) 1 program c) 1 program d) Nil  a) >6 programs b) 2-6 programs c) 1 program d) Nil  Score d) 0  Feedback Weightage d) 00								
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	<ol> <li>Program Reports, List of attendees (investors, investor networks, private funds, etc.)</li> <li>Program-wise list of startups</li> </ol> Did you attend the pitching session/networking event/workshop? A. Yes B. No								
Guidelines	<ol> <li>Workshops or networking events to provide a platform to connect Investors or Investor Networks with startups will be considered for the purpose of evaluation (At least 50 startups and two investors should participate in each event)</li> <li>Virtual events or pitching sessions undertaken during the period of consideration may also be considered for the purpose of evaluation, provided a valid URL and Screenshot is provided</li> <li>State-supported programs at institutions or incubators to provide a platform to connect Investors or Investor Networks with startups will be considered for the purpose of evaluation.</li> <li>Feedback will be only collected from startups who participated in any of these programs</li> <li>The State or UT response shall be considered for the purpose of evaluation only if event reports or records of discussion for investor-focused events has been shared.</li> <li>A program undertaken by the state departments to encourage startups working in Covid19 relief measures will also be considered</li> </ol>								

### Reform Area 6: Mentorship Support

## Action Point 22: Number of startups connected with mentors through State supported mechanisms

Reform Area	Mentorship Support							
Question	What is the number of startups which have been connected with mentors through State supported mechanisms?							
Scoring Criteria	Absolute Scoring							
Options (Category A)	a) >100 startups b) 60-100 startups c) 20- 59 startups d) <20 startups d) <5 star							
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	1. List of registered mentors 2. List of beneficiary startups receiving mentorship in the State  Were you conn mentor with the government? A. Yes							
Guidelines	<ol> <li>Registered mentors may include Corporates (including but not limited to corporations, MSMEs, entrepreneurs, innovators, makerspaces, NGO partners), Government organizations, investors, higher education institutes, and academicians (including applicants from research organizations)</li> <li>Mentorship provided by a single mentor to multiple startups will not be considered for the purpose of evaluation</li> <li>Startups should be connected with registered mentors through an online or offline State supported mechanism</li> <li>Connection of startups and mentors should be established within the period of consideration</li> <li>Virtual connection of a registered startup and mentor will be considered for the purpose of evaluation.</li> </ol>							

### Action Point 23: Average number of hours spent by mentor per startup in a month

Reform Area	Mentorship Support							
Question	What is the average number of hours spent by mentor per startup in a month?							
Scoring Criteria	Absolute Scoring	Absolute Scoring						
Options	<ul><li>a) &gt;= 5 hours</li><li>b) 3-4 hours</li><li>c) 1-2 hours</li><li>d) Nil</li></ul>	Score	<ul><li>a) 3</li><li>b) 2</li><li>c) 1</li><li>d) 0</li></ul>	Feedback Weightage	100%			
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	List of beneficiary startups receiving mentorship	Feedback Question What is the average number of hours per month spent by mentor for your startup?						
Guidelines	considered for the purp 2. If one-to-one mentorsh duration will not be co be limited to the timeform. 3. Mentorship provided be carried out during ever pitching sessions shall loof participating startup 4. Mentorship carried out	considered for the purpose of evaluation  If one-to-one mentorship is carried out during the course of an event, the entire event duration will not be considered for the purpose of evaluation. Mentorship hours will be limited to the timeframe of mentorship from mentor-to-startup  Mentorship provided by a single mentor to multiple startups in the form of sessions carried out during events such as bootcamps, hackathons, networking events, and pitching sessions shall be considered for the purpose of evaluation only if the number of participating startups does not exceed 15 per session  Mentorship carried out by mentors registered on the State startup portal during programs which are not supported by the State government will not be considered for						

### Reform Area 7: Capacity Building of Enablers

## Action Point 24: Number of sensitization workshops conducted for officials of State government departments on startup ecosystem of India

Reform Area	Capacity Building of Enablers						
Question	How many sensitization wo departments on startup eco	•		d for officials of	State government		
Scoring Criteria	Absolute scoring	osystem or	ilula:				
Scoring Criteria							
Ontions	a) >5 workshops a) 4  Document						
Options	b) 1- 5 workshops	Score	b) 2	Weightage	100%		
Cti	c) Nil		c) 0				
Supporting	1. Summary report to be s		• •	•			
Documents to be	undertaken, Date, Venu		•		•		
provided by the State	2. Details of the participar						
Guidelines	<ol> <li>Virtual workshops or we evaluation</li> <li>In case of virtual work duration, participants, and also be considered for each workshop should be participated.</li> <li>There should be participated.</li> </ol>	kshops, a sand Record in collabor evaluation cover the foons related on on beneforment to end Best Practible conductors multipation of m	summary report of Discussion to be ation with Central of Central o	detailing works be shared al Government I tem in India ANI eneurship AND of 4 hours be considered for	shop name, date, Departments shall D		

## Action Point 25: Percentage of State-supported incubators trained through capacity development workshops

Reform Area	Capacity Building of Enablers							
Question	What is the percentage of State-supported incubators trained through capacity							
•	development workshops?							
Scoring Criteria	Relative scoring							
Scoring Metric	recentage of State-supported should be state-supported should be state-supported Maximum Score - 4 Score Maximum Score - 4 Weightage 100%							
Supporting	Summary report to be shared for each workshop							
Documents to be	2. List of all State-supported incubators (as of 31st July 2021)							
provided by the State	3. Unique list of incubators (along with staff names) participated in workshops							
Guidelines	1. Summary report to be shared for each workshop 2. List of all State-supported incubators (as of 31st July 2021)							

# Action Point 26: Number of programs conducted to sensitize potential investors (including family businesses, corporate houses & entrepreneurs) on investment in startups

Reform Area	Capacity Building of Enablers								
Question	How many programs ha				•				family
	businesses, corporate houses & small entrepreneurs) on investment in startups?								
Scoring Criteria	Absolute scoring								
	a) >10 programs	a) >10 programs a) >5 programs a) 2							
Options (Category A)	(	Options Category	b)	3- 5 programs	Score	b)	1	Document Weightage	100%
(category A)	c) 1- 4 programs	В)	c)	1- 2 programs		c)	0.5		
	d) Nil		d)	Nil		d)	0		
Supporting Documents to be provided by the State	<ol> <li>Summary report to be</li> <li>List of family businessed that participated in productions.</li> <li>List of districts in the State of districts in the State of districts in the State of districts.</li> </ol>	es, corporat ograms State	te h	ouses, small entr	•				
Guidelines	<ol> <li>This Action Point aims to increase the number of early-stage investors in the startup ecosystem of the country by sensitizing potential investors, including family businesses, corporate houses, small entrepreneurs, on potential of startups as a lucrative asset class. It aims to build capacities of aspiring or emerging investors who want to deepen their experience in early-stage investing</li> <li>Virtual programs or workshops will also be considered for the purpose of evaluation</li> <li>Programs or workshops shall be conducted in different districts, with a minimum of five districts being covered in Category A States (no minimum number of Category B States and UTs)</li> <li>Programs conducted by private organisations, such as Investor Networks, supported by State government will be considered for evaluation</li> <li>Support provided by State government (logistics, financial support, etc.) to be provided in the summary document</li> <li>There should be participation of minimum 10 potential investors</li> <li>Each program should fulfil at least one of the following:         <ul> <li>Knowledge-based sessions OR</li> <li>Masterclasses &amp; one-on-one interactions to facilitate investor to investor connects OR</li> <li>Basics of Angel Investment OR</li> <li>Exit opportunities for angels OR</li> </ul> </li> </ol>								